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Raney nickel desulphuration of 6-aryl-2,3-bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1,4-diphenyl-7-thia-2,3,6-triaza-norbornane-5-ones (1a,b) yielded mainly 3-aryl-1-benzoyl-5-(methoxycarbonylamino)-5-phenyl-imidazolidine-2,4-diones (3a,b). A mechanism which involves six alternating ring-opening and ringclosure steps is suggested. Evidence in favour of this unusual pathway include the following: (a) structure of the minor products (4). (b) Desulphuration of the 6 -benzyl derivative ( $1 \mathbf{c}$ ), which allowed isolation of the intermediate (11c). (c) Desulphuration of the analogous sulphur bridged pyridone (15), which gave the dihydropyridone (17). Structures (3b), (11c), and (17) were confirmed by $X$-ray analyses.

In a previous paper ${ }^{1}$ we have described the preparation of 3,6-sulphur bridged hexahydro-1,2,4-triazine-5-ones (1) through cycloaddition of thiazolium-4-olates and azodicarboxylic esters, and reported some ring opening and rearrangement reactions of (1). A possible synthetic use of (1) is removal of the sulphur bridge to give stereospecifically polysubstituted hexahydrotriazines [e.g. (2)]. To this end, compounds (1a) and (1b) were treated with Raney nickel ${ }^{2}$ [in acetone, owing to the fast reaction of (1) with the customarily used ethanol ${ }^{1}$ ]. The reaction afforded two unexpected products assigned as (3) and (4) in a $2: 1$ ratio.

(1) $\mathbf{a} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
b; $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

(3)


(2)

(4)

The transformation (1) $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{( 3 )}$ involves loss of a methylene group (evident from analyses and mass spectra), probably via addition of water and elimination of methanol. Indeed compounds (3) showed the presence of only one methyl group in their n.m.r. spectra. The i.r. spectrum of ( $\mathbf{3 b}$ ) exhibited peaks at $3330 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{NH}), 1800$, and $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (CO-NR-CO in a 5 -membered ring), $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carbamate) and $1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (non-cyclic amide). The parent peak in the mass spectrum was $m / z 105$ (COPh). All these data indicate structure (3). However, because of its high dissimilarity to the starting material (1), the structure of (3b) was confirmed by a single crystal $X$-ray analysis (Figure 1). The overall transformation (1) $\rightarrow$ (3) involves cleavage of five bonds and the formation of four new ones. We suggest a mechanism which consists of six alternating ring openings and ring closures. The key step is analogous to the


Figure 1. Molecular structure of compound (3b)
water addition-cyclization described by Rigaudy and Breliers ${ }^{3}$ for compound (5) and the subsequent opening (6) $\rightarrow(7)$. The suggested mechanism is depicted in Scheme 1, followed by a detailed discussion. It should be noted that sodium hydroxide, which always accompanies Raney nickel, was mostly removed and was present in very low concentrations.


Step (a), ring opening. The usual initial step in Raney nickel desulphuration of sulphides ${ }^{2}$ leads to the biradical (8).

Step (b), ring closure. The crowding around the radical centres does not allow an approach to the catalyst surface and transfer of hydrogen atoms to $(\mathbf{8})$. The biradical therefore undergoes an intramolecular coupling to the bicyclic intermediate (9).
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Scheme 1.

Step (c), ring opening. The highly strained (9) undergoes a retro $(2+2)$ cleavage. This occurs at the right hand ring and involves cleavage of the weak $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond.

Step (d), ring closure. The intermediate (10) is similar to compound (5). Although the cis-ethene linkage in (5) is replaced by an amide moiety in (10), the distances and the planar shape are very close and water addition with cyclization in the same manner as (5) $\rightarrow$ (6) should take place. However, as (10) is unsymmetrical, this can proceed in two directions. The pathway to (3) involves water attack at the amide nitrogen side.

Step (e), ring opening. The elimination can occur either towards the carbamate nitrogen [as in $(6) \rightarrow(7)]$ or towards the amide nitrogen [not available in (6)], and the latter course is preferred.

Step (f), ring closure. The amide anion attacks the carbamate group, with replacement of a methoxide anion and formation of the isolated product ( $\mathbf{3}$ ).

This mechanism also accounts for the formation of the minor products. Cyclization of the intermediate (10) in the reversed direction, with water attack at the carbon adjacent to the amide carbonyl leads to (4). The reaction stops at this stage, as ring opening of (4) [like (6) $\longrightarrow$ (7)] would require a high concentration of NaOH . Compounds (4) were obtained as single stereoisomers and the stereochemical assignment is discussed further below. The spectral properties of (4) were in

Table 1. Crystallographic data

|  | (3b) | (11c) | (17) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ |
| M | 443.5 | 475.5 | 469.5 |
| Space group | $I_{2} / C^{a}$ | $P 2_{1} / C$ | P1 |
| $a / \AA$ | 19.985(2) | 10.421(4) | 11.044(3) |
| $b / \AA$ | 11.119(2) | 22.255(8) | 11.700(3) |
| $c / \AA$ | 20.435(3) | 10.511(4) | 9.984(3) |
| $x j^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 94.28(7) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 102.36(2) | 93.39(5) | 95.37(7) |
| $\gamma{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 79.58(6) |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | 4 435.7(6) | 2432.0 (9) | $1261.0(8)$ |
| Z | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| $D_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.33 | 1.30 | 1.24 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $6.87\left(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)$ | 0.36 (Mo-K ${ }_{\alpha}$ ) | 0.49 ( $\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}$ ) |
| Total reflections | 3110 | 3123 | 3226 |
| Unique data | 2703 | 1923 | 2830 |
|  | $[I \geqslant 2 \sigma(I)]$ | $[I \geqslant 3 \sigma(I)]$ | $[I \geqslant 2 \sigma(I)]$ |
| $R$ | 0.046 | 0.092 | 0.069 |
| $R_{\text {w }}$ | 0.083 | 0.104 | 0.079 |
| ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | $\left(\sigma_{F}^{2}+0.002204\right.$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{-2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{-2}$ |

${ }^{a}$ A non-standard aspect of $C_{2} / C$ (No. 15).
full accord with the assigned structure. Thus compound (4b) exhibited in the i.r. region the following absorption: an 3450 (CH), $3300(\mathrm{NH}), 1735$ and 1720 (carbamates CO), and 1695 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ( $\gamma$-lactam CO). OH and NH signals were also observed in the n.m.r. spectrum at $\delta 6.26$ (exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and 6.73 respectively.


The desulphuration of the $N$-benzyl derivative (1c) proceeded via the same pathway, but apparently stopped after step (d), and gave a $2: 1$ mixture of (11c) and (4c). These two structural isomers, in which the positions of the hydroxy and methoxycarbonylamino groups are exchanged, have similar spectral properties. The most significant difference was in the nonequivalence of the benzylic protons in the n.m.r. spectra ( $\Delta \delta=0.48$ and 0.18 p.p.m.). We assumed that the isomer with the small non-equivalence is the one with the smaller OH group adjacent to the benzyl group (11c), and this reasoning was confirmed by an $X$-ray analysis of this isomer (Figure 2).

The $X$-ray analysis also established the stereochemistry of (11c) as shown with the hydroxy and methoxycarbonylamino groups in a cis relationship. A probable reason for this stereospecificity is hydrogen bonding in the intermediate anion (13) which controls the stereochemistry of the cyclization to (11) and keeps the two bonded groups on the same side. By analogy [through the intermediate anion (14)], we assigned the stereochemistry of compounds (4), and these considerations also apply to compound (6). The literature report ${ }^{3}$ on (6) did not deal with the stereochemical aspects, but the reported data clearly indicate the formation of a single stereoisomer.

Table 2. Positional parameters and estimated standard deviations for (3b)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.67828(9)$ | $0.2528(2)$ | $0.47878(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.5472(1)$ | $0.2492(2)$ | $0.5508(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.49124(8)$ | $0.3895(2)$ | $0.32845(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.5313(2)$ | $0.3170(3)$ | $0.6550(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.5502(1)$ | $0.3514(2)$ | $0.53105(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.6387(1)$ | $0.4311(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $0.53791(9)$ | $0.2181(2)$ | $0.61109(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.6838(1)$ | $0.4945(2)$ | $0.4744(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.43041(9)$ | $0.0793(1)$ | $0.4087(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.7315(1)$ | $0.5688(2)$ | $0.4027(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.59613(9)$ | $0.3416(2)$ | $0.39551(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.7352(1)$ | $0.5808(2)$ | $0.3358(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $0.50491(9)$ | $0.2288(2)$ | $0.40347(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.6867(1)$ | $0.5220(2)$ | $0.2891(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $0.5537(1)$ | $0.1506(2)$ | $0.51349(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $0.6384(1)$ | $0.4489(2)$ | $0.3069(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.5635(1)$ | $0.670(2)$ | $0.4460(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $0.7922(2)$ | $0.6534(4)$ | $0.3170(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.6210(1)$ | $0.2583(2)$ | $0.4453(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $0.4381(1)$ | $0.1857(2)$ | $0.3974(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.5259(1)$ | $0.3290(2)$ | $0.3706(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $0.3800(1)$ | $0.2703(2)$ | $0.3831(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.5630(1)$ | $0.0502(2)$ | $0.4156(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.3170(1)$ | $0.2274(2)$ | $0.3489(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.5655(1)$ | $0.0323(2)$ | $0.3470(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.2597(1)$ | $0.2997(3)$ | $0.3395(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.5867(1)$ | $-0.0689(3)$ | $0.3178(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $0.2643(1)$ | $0.4141(3)$ | $0.3650(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.6262(2)$ | $-0.1539(3)$ | $0.3579(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $0.3268(1)$ | $0.4584(2)$ | $0.4004(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.6448(2)$ | $-0.1370(3)$ | $0.4260(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $0.3849(1)$ | $0.3869(2)$ | $0.4093(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.6239(1)$ | $-0.0346(2)$ | $0.4551(1)$ |  |  |  |  |

Estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits are shown in parentheses.

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.202(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.471(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.191(2) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.410 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.221(3) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.399(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.387(3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.536(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.394(3)$ |  |  |



(1)


(13)
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$
(14)

The hydrogen bond between the hydroxy group and the carbamate carbonyl still exists in the products [(11c) and (4)], as revealed by the i.r. spectra and the $X$-ray picture of (11c). In the n.m.r. spectrum of $(\mathbf{4 c})$, change of the solvent from $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ causes a considerable change in the non-equivalence of the benzylic protons, which drops from $\Delta \delta 0.48$ p.p.m. to $\Delta \delta$ 0.06 p.p.m. This drop is, most probably, due to a change from intra- to inter-molecular hydrogen bonding with the solvent

Table 4. Selected angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) for compound (3b)

| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $112.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $112.3(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $122.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $107.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $125.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $126.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $111.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $125.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $127.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $106.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $121.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $126.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $100.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $127.2(2)$ |



Figure 2. Molecular structure of compound (11c)
and, consequently, a complete change in the conformation of the proximate methoxycarbonyl group. In the spectrum of (11c), in which the methoxycarbonyl group lies further away from the benzylic protons, the change of solvent had no effect on the non-equivalence. This phenomenon excludes the possibility that the second product is a stereoisomer of (11c), and confirms structure (4c).

The isolation of (11c) presents evidence for the late stages of the suggested mechanism. In order to gain evidence for the early stages we carried out the desulphuration of compound (15) (prepared from 2,3,5-triphenyl-thiazolium-4-olate and diethyl fumarate). It was expected, owing to the absence of the weak

Table 5. Positional parameters and estimated standard deviations for (11c)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $z$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.1733(5)$ | $0.3518(2)$ | $0.4806(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-0.0637(8)$ | $0.3233(4)$ | $0.2600(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.4361(5)$ | $0.3136(2)$ | $0.2086(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.2945(7)$ | $0.3700(3)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.5266(5)$ | $0.4900(2)$ | $0.3064(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.3546(9)$ | $0.3412(4)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $0.5336(4)$ | $0.4315(2)$ | $0.1327(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.2998(9)$ | $0.3518(4)$ | $-0.0353(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.4809(5)$ | $0.3174(2)$ | $0.4647(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.1988(9)$ | $0.3861(4)$ | $-0.182(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.5585(5)$ | $0.3678(3)$ | $0.6403(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.138(1)$ | $0.4142(5)$ | $-0.0908(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.2341(5)$ | $0.3406(3)$ | $0.2763(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.1889(8)$ | $0.4049(4)$ | $0.0373(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $0.3868(5)$ | $0.4126(3)$ | $0.2720(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $0.4880(7)$ | $0.4489(4)$ | $0.2426(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $0.4235(5)$ | $0.4143(3)$ | $0.5049(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $0.6370(8)$ | $0.4687(4)$ | $0.0917(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.2367(7)$ | $0.3663(3)$ | $0.3923(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $0.4865(8)$ | $0.3629(4)$ | $0.5305(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.3433(7)$ | $0.3585(3)$ | $0.2019(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $0.6301(9)$ | $0.3145(4)$ | $0.6777(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.3309(6)$ | $0.4194(3)$ | $0.3954(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.2554(7)$ | $0.4779(3)$ | $0.4061(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.1623(6)$ | $0.2853(3)$ | $0.2448(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.2243(7)$ | $0.5148(4)$ | $0.3013(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.0283(7)$ | $0.2977(3)$ | $0.1892(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $0.1501(7)$ | $0.5661(4)$ | $0.3119(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-0.0086(9)$ | $0.2802(4)$ | $0.0655(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $0.1047(8)$ | $0.5802(4)$ | $0.4291(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-0.134(1)$ | $0.2915(5)$ | $0.012(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $0.1345(8)$ | $0.5449(4)$ | $0.5322(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-0.222(1)$ | $0.3187(4)$ | $0.0838(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $0.2083(7)$ | $0.4939(4)$ | $0.5230(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-0.188(1)$ | $0.3339(5)$ | $0.207(1)$ |  |  |  |  |

Estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits are shown in parentheses.

Table 6. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ for (11c)

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.220(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.468(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.389(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.466(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.345(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.380(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.480(9)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.455(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.465(9)$ |  |  |

$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, that we would be able to isolate (16). The reaction, however, gave an isomer of (16), which was identified through $X$-ray analysis (see Figure 3 ) as (17).



(18)

(16)

The formation of (17) requires a 1,3-hydrogen migration. The fact that the migration was completely regiospecific and no product of a migration of the other hydrogen was detected, indicates that (16) was an intermediate in this process, since a reversed polarization of the central bond in (16) is highly unlikely. Noteworthy is the stereospecific formation of (17),

Table 7. Selected angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) for (11c)

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $113.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $109.3(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $122.7(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $110.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $101.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $113.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $114.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $125.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $108.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $100.2(5)$ |  |  |



Figure 3. Molecular structure of compound (17)
with the phenyl and ethoxycarbonyl groups in a cis relationship. The stereoisomer (17) is probably the thermodynamically more stable. Indeed no epimerization was observed on treating (17) with base. Furthermore, the desulphuration of the diethyl maleate adduct (18) in which the two carboxylic groups are exo ${ }^{5}$ also gave stereospecifically (17).

## Experimental

M.p.s were taken with a Thomas-Hoover apparatus. I.r. spectra (Nujol mulls) were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 157 spectrophotometer. N.m.r. spectra ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions) were taken on a Bruker WH-300 instrument, and mass spectra (70 eV ) on a Varian MAT 311 instrument. Light petroleum refers to the fraction with a boiling range of $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. E. Merck silica gel 60 ( $70-230$ mesh) was used for chromatography. Raney

Table 8. Positional parameters and estimated standard deviations for (17)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $z$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.9247(3)$ | $0.1488(3)$ | $0.1461(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.6066(4)$ | $-0.1304(4)$ | $0.1205(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.3825(3)$ | $0.2797(3)$ | $0.2898(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.5773(5)$ | $-0.2380(5)$ | $0.1347(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.3843(3)$ | $0.0921(3)$ | $0.2332(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.5554(5)$ | $-0.2714(5)$ | $0.2569(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $0.7504(4)$ | $0.2613(3)$ | $0.4219(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.5604(6)$ | $-0.1951(5)$ | $0.3685(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.6304(3)$ | $0.4316(3)$ | $0.3832(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $0.5870(5)$ | $-0.0855(4)$ | $0.3576(5)$ |
| N | $0.7714(3)$ | $0.0574(3)$ | $0.1959(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $0.4375(4)$ | $0.1853(4)$ | $0.2543(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.8151(5)$ | $0.1518(4)$ | $0.1519(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $0.2552(4)$ | $0.1050(4)$ | $0.2598(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.6467(4)$ | $0.0627(4)$ | $0.2211(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $0.2225(5)$ | $-0.0117(5)$ | $0.2430(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.5700(4)$ | $0.1675(4)$ | $0.2301(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.6735(5)$ | $0.3202(4)$ | $0.3526(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.6193(4)$ | $0.2779(4)$ | $0.2169(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.6766(5)$ | $0.4810(5)$ | $0.5125(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.7155(4)$ | $0.2520(4)$ | $0.1106(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $0.7902(5)$ | $0.5285(6)$ | $0.5051(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.8624(4)$ | $-0.0428(4)$ | $0.2360(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $0.7605(4)$ | $0.3589(4)$ | $0.0737(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.9210(4)$ | $-0.1160(4)$ | $0.1360(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $0.7105(5)$ | $0.4097(4)$ | $-0.0440(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.0082(5)$ | $-0.2109(4)$ | $0.1766(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $0.7438(6)$ | $0.5120(5)$ | $-0.0804(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.0349(5)$ | $-0.2323(5)$ | $0.3113(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $0.8293(7)$ | $0.5817(5)$ | $0.0036(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.9767(5)$ | $-0.1574(5)$ | $0.4077(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $0.8794(5)$ | $0.5126(5)$ | $0.1200(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.8905(5)$ | $-0.0614(4)$ | $0.3687(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $0.8458(5)$ | $0.4108(5)$ | $0.1559(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.6118(4)$ | $-0.0525(4)$ | $0.2341(5)$ |  |  |  |  |

Estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits are shown in parentheses.

Table 9. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for compound (17)

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.211(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.361(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.397(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.509(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.413(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.548(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.508(6)$ |  |  |

nickel was of W-2 activity, ${ }^{6}$ the water in its slurry was replaced by acetone before use

Desulphuration of (1a).-To a solution of (1a) ( $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 2$ mmol ) in acetone ( 60 ml ), Raney nickel ( $c a .10 \mathrm{~g}$, slurry in acetone) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h after which the nickel was allowed to settle at the bottom of the flask when the solution was removed by decantation. After three washings of the catalyst with acetone, the combined acetone solution was filtered and evaporated to give a quantitative yield of thick oil. T.l.c. showed the presence of two products and the mixture was chromatographed on silica gel ( 50 g ), eluant chloroform-light petroleum ( $5: 1$ ) to afford the following.

1-Benzoyl-5-(methoxycarbonylamino)-3,5-diphenylimidazol-idine-2,4-dione (3a), eluted first, was crystallized from dichloro-methane-light petroleum ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%$ ), m.p. $162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 67.4; H, 4.6; $\mathrm{N}, 9.75 . \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.5$; N , $9.8 \%$ ) ; $v_{\text {max. }} 3320(\mathrm{NH}), 1795$, and 1740 and $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{CO}) ; \delta$ $7.72-7.32(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, and $3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; m / z 429$ $\left(M^{+}, 55 \%\right), 282(45), 205(96), 197(72), 119(45), 118(42), 105$ (100), 104 (65), 91 (23), and 77 (96).

5-Hydroxy-1-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-(methoxycarbonylamino)-2,3,5-triphenylimidazolidin-2-one (4a), eluted next, was also crystallized from dichloromethane-light petroleum $(0.37 \mathrm{~g}$, $40 \%$ ), m.p. $207{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 64.8; H, 5.0; N, 8.8. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $65.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.1 \%$ ); $\mathrm{v}_{\text {max }} 3400(\mathrm{OH}), 3280(\mathrm{NH})$, and 1730 and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{CO}) ; \delta 7.88-7.14(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.44(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, and $3.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; m / z 461\left(M^{+}\right.$, $2 \%$ ), 341 (100), 282 ( 89 ), 254 (48), 237 (70), 223 (35), 205 ( 100 ), 180 (22), 162 (23), 151 (23), 118 (97), 105 (100), 104 (68), and 77 (62).

Desulphuration of (1b).-The procedure described above for (1a) was followed for ( $\mathbf{1 b}$ ) $(0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$. An n.m.r. spectrum

Table 10. Selected angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) for compound (17)

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $122.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.8(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $114.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $125.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}$ | $120.7(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $124.7(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $112.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $119.9(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $109.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $125.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $113.5(4)$ |

of the crude product showed the presence of ( $\mathbf{3 b}$ ) and ( $\mathbf{4 b}$ ) in a 2:1 ratio. Chromatography on silica gel with chloroform-light petroleum ( $9: 1$ ) as eluant afforded the pure components.

1-Benzoyl-5-(methoxycarbonylamino)-5-phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)-imidazolidine-2,4-dione (4a), eluted first, was crystallized from dichloromethane-light petroleum ( $0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ), m.p. $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 67.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.2 . \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.7$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.5 \%$; v $v_{\text {max. }} 3330(\mathrm{NH})$, and $1800,1740,1715$, and $1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{CO}) ; \delta 7.71-7.20(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), and $2.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; m / z 443\left(M^{+}, 83 \%\right), 283(67), 211(84), 205$ (85), 165 (16), 133 (83), 105 (100), 104 (83), 91 (23), and 77 (86).

5-Hydroxy-1-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-(methoxycarbonylamino)-2,3-diphenyl-3-(p-tolyl)imidazolidin-2-one (4b), eluted next, was crystallized from dichloromethane-light petroleum ( 0.25 g , $26 \%$ ), m.p. $195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 65.7 ; H, 5.3; N, 8.5. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, 65.7; H, 5.3; N, 8.8); $v_{\text {max. }} 3450(\mathrm{OH}), 3300(\mathrm{NH})$, and 1735,1720 , and $1695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{CO}) ; \delta 7.76-6.97(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, and $2.21(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; m / z 475\left(M^{+}, 6 \%\right), 341$ (35), 296 (96), 268 (38), 237 (62), $205(67), 165(25), 162(22), 133(23), 118(94), 105(100), 104$ (79), and 77 (72).

Desulphuration of (1c).-Reaction of (1c) ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with Raney nickel, as described above, gave a colourless oil (1.6 $\mathrm{g}, 85 \%$ ) which was shown to contain the presence of two products (t.l.c.). The mixture was dissolved in ether ( 30 ml ) and when set aside ( 2 h ) precipitated crystals (homogeneous by t.l.c.). These were filtered off and crystallized from dichloro-methane-light petroleum to give 3-benzyl-5-hydroxy-1-(meth-oxycarbonyl)-2-(methoxycarbonylamino)-2,5-diphenylimidazol-idin-2-one (4c) $(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%)$, m.p. $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 65.7 ; H, 5.6 ; $\mathrm{N}, 8.65 . \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $65.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.8 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max }}$ $3410(\mathrm{OH}), 3240(\mathrm{NH})$, and 1740,1730 , and $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); $\delta 7.89-6.98(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.58$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, and $3.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$;
$m / z 475\left(M^{+}, 5 \%\right), 458(87), 295(47), 267(48), 237(99), 210(53)$, 205 (92), 118 (92), 105 (100), 104 (45), 91 (983), and 77 (54).
The ethereal filtrate was evaporated and the residue redissolved in ether $(20 \mathrm{ml})$. An insoluble fraction $(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ which was a mixture of (4c) and (11c) was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated. Crystallization of the residue from dichloro-methane-light petroleum yielded 3-benzyl-2-hydroxy-1-(meth-oxycarbonyl)-5-(methoxycarbonylamino)-2,5-diphenylimidazol-idin-2-one (11c), m.p. $192^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 65.7; H, 5.45; N, 8.65. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 65.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.8 \%$; $v_{\text {max. }} 3320$, $3250,1720,1710$, and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 7.66-6.83(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.37$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), and $3.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; m / z 475\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2 \%\right), 458(100), 457(68)$, 381 (24), 267 (89), 237 (100), 205 (97), 118 (97), 105 (98), 104 (55), 91 (84), and 77 (61).

2-exo,3-endo-Bis(ethoxycarbonyl)-1,4,6-triphenyl-6-aza-7-thianorbornan-5-one (15).-This was prepared from 2,3,5-tri-phenylthiazolium-4-olate and diethyl fumarate according to the procedure described in the literature ${ }^{4,5}$ for the reaction with dimethyl fumarate ( $75 \%$ yield); it had m.p. $116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 69.2; H, 5.5; N, 3.1; S, 6.15. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 69.45 ; \mathrm{H}$, $5.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.8 ; \mathrm{S}, 6.35 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max. }} 1735,1710$, and $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2,3-exo-Bis(ethoxycarbonyl-1,4,6-triphenyl-6-aza-7-thianor-bornane-5-one (18).-This was prepared from 2,3,5-triphenyl-thiazolium-4-olate and diethyl maleate in $80 \%$ yield, m.p. $177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 69.15; H, 5.4; N, 2.8; S, 6.8. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 69.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.8 ; \mathrm{S}, 6.35 \%$ ) $\mathrm{v}_{\text {max. }} 1755,1740$, and $1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

4,5-Bis(ethoxycarbonyl)-1,3,6-triphenyl-3,4-dihydropyridin$2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one (17).-Reaction of compound (15) ( $0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with Raney nickel in acetone as described above yielded a thick oil which was chromatographed on silica gel with chloroformlight petroleum ( $5: 1$ ) as eluant. The product obtained and crystallized from dichloromethane-light petroleum $(0.77 \mathrm{~g}$, $82 \%$ ) had m.p. $123^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 74.1; H, 5.8; N, 3.0. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 74.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.0 \%$ ); $v_{\text {max. }} 1725,1710$, and $1675(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) ; 87.33-7.08(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 4.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}), 4.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93-3.88(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; m / z 469$ ( $M^{+}, 51 \%$ ), 396 (100), 368 (17), 351 (34), 350 (41), 323 (16), 278 (12), 180 (50), and 77 (28).

Compound (17) was also obtained in the same manner from (18).

X-Ray Crystal Structure Analysis of (3b).-Data were measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 Automatic Diffractometer. $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}(\lambda=1.54178 \AA)$ radiation with a graphite crystal monochromator in the incident beam was used. The standard CAD-4 centring, indexing, and data collection programs were used. The unit-cell dimensions were obtained by a least-squares fit of 18 centred reflections in the range of $28 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 34^{\circ}$.

Intensity data were collected using the $\omega-2 \theta$ technique to a maximum $2 \theta$ of $120^{\circ}$. The scan width, $\Delta \omega$, for each reflection was $0.80+0.15 \tan \theta$. An aperture with a height of 4 mm and a variable width, calculated as $12.0+\frac{1}{2} \tan \theta$ ) mm , was located 173 mm from the crystal. Reflections were first measured with a scan of $8.24^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$ the rate for the final scan was calculated from the preliminary scan results so that the ratio $I / \sigma(I)$ would be at least 25 and the maximum scan time would not exceed 60 s . If in a preliminary scan $I / \sigma(I)<2$, this measurement was used as the datum. Scan rates varied from 1.27 to $8.24^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$. Of the 96 steps in the scan, the first and the last 16 steps were considered to be
background. During data collection the intensities of three standard reflections were monitored after every hour of $X$-ray exposure. No decay was observed. In addition, three orientation standards were checked after 100 reflections to check the effects of crystal movement. If the standard deviation of the $h, k$, and $l$ values of any orientation reflection exceeded 0.08, a new orientation matrix was calculated on the basis of the recentring of the 18 reference reflections.
Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. All non-hydrogen atoms were found by using the results of the direct method analysis. ${ }^{7}$ After several cycles of refinements* the positions of the hydrogen atoms were found, and added with a constant isotropic temperature factor of 0.05 $A^{2}$ to the refinement process. Refinement proceeded to convergence by minimizing the function $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$. A final difference Fourier synthesis map showed several peaks less than 0.15 e $\AA^{-3}$ scattered about the unit cell without a significant feature.
The discrepancy indices, $R=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}=$ $\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\mid F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are presented with other pertinent crystallographic data in Table 1.

X-Ray Crystal Structure Analysis of (11c) and (17).-Data were measured on a PW1 100/20 Philips Four-Circle ComputerControlled Diffractometer, Mo- $K_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71069 \AA)$ radiation with a graphite crystal monochromator in the incident beam was used. The unit-cell dimensions were obtained by a leastsquares fit of 18 centred reflections in the range of $10 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 14^{\circ}$. Intensity data were collected using the $\omega-2 \theta$ technique to a maximum $2 \theta$ of $45^{\circ}$. The scan width, $\Delta w$, for each reflection was $1.00+0.35 \tan \theta$ with a scan speed of $0.05^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$. Background measurements were made for a total 20 s at both limits of each scan. Three standard reflections were monitored every 60 min . No systematic variations in intensities were found.

Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. All non-hydrogen atoms were found by using the results of the SHELX $86^{7}$ direct method analysis. After several cycles of refinements ${ }^{8}$ the positions of the hydrogen atoms were calculated, and added with a constant isotropic temperature factor of $0.05 \AA^{2}$ to the refinement process. Refinement proceeded to convergence by minimizing the function $\sum w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\right.$ $\left.\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$. A final difference peaks less than $0.5[0.3$ for (17) $] \mathrm{e} / \AA^{-3}$ scattered about the unit cell without a significant feature.

The discrepancy indices, $R=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}=$ $\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are presented with other pertinent crystallographic data in Table 1. Complete listings of the bond lengths and bond angles together with the thermal parameters are available on request from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. $\dagger$
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